## 1. Table A-4. FY 2008 and Preliminary FY 2009 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Funding Allocations

Grey = informational and site counts												Green = Categories that provide State O/M resources											Yellow = Associated Program Support (APS)										Total												
	Federal Reference Method Program																Cont. Mor IMPROVE C-1			IMPROVE at CA		C	Chemical Speciati			ion Program PM Precursor Gas I				as Pr	ogram														
																	APS			APS					APS		APS	IMP S	ST PR	APS					APS	NCor	е	APS	APS	O/M				0.985	TOTAL
	F R M D a i I	F R M 1	F R M 1 /	FRM Collocatte	F R M D a a i i I y y o n 1 2 2 / 4 4 / 0 0	Exttrra  \$ off Daaii Iyy FRR		S a m p l i n g , \$ 1 2 , 0 0 0 y e a	New FRM sites at 1:	Funds for new FR	s i t e s , \$ 1 6 , 6 0 0 P e r Y e a	6 m o n t h s 1 /	6 m o n t h s 1 /	6 m o n t h s s 1 /	Alloc d Numi of	ber a	Filter	Planno PEP audits the ye- 0.5 additio I PEP audits planno per PQAO misse	ed ifor ar; ona Q/ PEF con	A-FRM P Costs nducted by	Planned PEP Audits conducted by independe nt group with direct award to	s † t e	C o I I o c a t e d S i t e	# of Site	In-kind	# of Site	IMPROVI at	1 i n	C o l l o c a t e d	IMPROVE	1 i	C o I I o c c a t e d 1 i n	1	C o n t t S p e c c 3 b o x	RTI Lab	R e q u i r e d S t a t i o n	Candidate Statio		Filter costs + QA FRM PEP + State Protocol IMPROVE lab costs + Speciaton	Monthy grar rates report for large spreadshee exercise lat year based of 2006 - indudes O/ and other. Some grant were large due to equipment purchases i 2006, other were smalle due to national shi	ed Recofficet Burnstt 200 eac Pro Month of the content of the cont	egional e Monthy Rate for or th State rated, if essary s should the O/M didney d of the of T grant d. Does include new nitoring	Direct Award Funds over a 12 month period + any PEP funds being returned + any additional daily FRM sites + Required	Total Direct Award	Total Net Allocation to State - O/M + capital +
State	y	3	6	d (5)	7	M	у	r r	3	M	r o)	1	3	6	Filte		or FY'08			Team	State	S	S (20)	S	Network		CASTne	_	3	Lab Cost	3	6	6	_	nalyses	S (00)	_	nalyses	lab costs	of \$3.5M.		irements.	new FRMs	BUDGET	Other
(1) Reg I	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		(8) 36.000	(9) 2	(1 \$33		(11)	(12)	(13)	115	<i>,</i> _	(15) \$24,308	(16		(17) \$69,350	(18) \$16,150		(20) (	21)	(22)	(23)	(24)	(25)	(26)	(27) \$245.000	,	(29) (	(30)	. ,	(32) \$267,927	(33)   (3	64)	(35)	(36) \$606,585	(37) \$154.00		(38)   6146,727	(39) \$1.846.074	(40) \$1,818,179	(41) \$2,424,764
Reg II	7	45	3		, 1	2	_	36,000 24.000	2		3.200	0	۸	0	129		\$27,087			\$58,900	\$16,150		1	2				1	1	\$70.000		1	1		\$584,568	4	4		\$740,555				\$1,927,386	\$1,898,263	\$2,424,764
Reg III	25	68	9	1:	3 2	7		84 000	0	\$50	\$0	0	0	0	276		\$58,039			\$30,500 \$123,500	\$10,150	33	Ġ	3		2		,		\$10,000	6	0	20		\$779,424	6	6		\$960,963				\$3,070,464		\$3,985,032
Reg IV	36	140	20	4:	3 4	71	0 \$1:	20.000	2	\$33	3.200	0	0	0	493		\$103.681			129,200	\$0	109	0	12		1		0	1	\$35.000	10	0	30		.217.850	8	8		\$1,485,731				\$5.912.084		\$7,308,482
Reg V	5	126	42	3	1	3 1	3 \$1	.,	1	\$16	,	0	0	0	316		\$66,455			186,200	\$0	91	4	4		3		2	1	\$105.000	7	1	32		,144,779	6	6		\$1,502,434				\$5.028.016	1.77	\$6,454,476
Reg VI	19	64	13	10	6 1	7	1 \$	12,000	3	\$49	9,800	0	0	0	239	942	\$50,259	9 4		\$91,200	\$0	63	o	13		1		2	0	\$70,000	5	1	4	1 :	\$365,355	5	5		\$576,814		50 \$	268,707	\$3,286,284	\$3,236,628	\$3,813,442
Reg VII	7	35	5	1	1 1	2	1 \$	12,000	0		\$0	0	0	0	113	311	\$23,745	5 4	47.5	\$74,100	\$16,150	25	o	2		0		8	0	\$280,000	7	0	3	1 :	\$414,069	4	4		\$791,914	\$137,07	79 \$	135,370	\$1,652,590	\$1,627,619	\$2,419,533
Reg VIII	4	58	12	1:	2	7	0	\$0	0		\$0	0	0	0	145	500	\$30,438	3 4	45.0	\$85,500	\$0	28	o	24		0		2	0	\$70,000	4	0	7	0 :	\$365,355	6	6		\$551,293	\$126,00	01 \$	153,562	\$1,842,744	\$1,814,900	\$2,366,193
Reg IX	15	47	24	2	1 1	2	1 \$ <sup>-</sup>	12,000	2	\$33	3,200	2	4	11	216	312	\$45,368	8	34.0 <b>\$</b>	159,600	\$0	65	4	29		0		7	1	\$280,000	9	3	3	3	\$584,568	4	4		\$1,069,536	\$239,89	91 \$	283,581	\$3,448,172	\$3,396,070	\$4,465,605
Reg X	2	13	11		7	4	1 \$¹	12,000	0		\$0	0	0	0	49	950	\$10,392	2 3	31.0	\$58,900	\$0	82	o	17		0		0	1	\$35,000	4	0	4	1 :	\$292,284	4	4		\$396,576	\$142,59	94 \$	161,255	\$1,947,060	\$1,917,640	\$2,314,215
OAQPS																			\$	410,525			_	110	\$2,374,790	7	\$245,000	<u> </u>						\$1	,152,606		:	\$126,520	\$4,309,441						\$4,309,441
																																		\$6	6,016,179										
08Totals	125	640	145	179	14	6 3	9 \$4	68,000	12	\$199	9,200	2	4	11	2094	197	\$439,770	)	571 <b>\$</b> 1,4	,446,975	\$48,450	563	9	110	\$2,374,790	7	\$245,000	29	5	\$1,190,000	68	7	104	18 \$7	7,168,785	53 5	53 5	126,520	\$12,991,840	\$2,416,91	15 \$2	,437,102	\$29,960,874	\$29,508,160	\$42,500,000
08 Act	ual - F	inal																																\$6	5,505,785				\$12,328,840					\$29,508,160	\$41,837,000

- 1. Available funding for FY 2008 was \$41,837,000, reflecting the Government-wide rescission of 1.56%.
- 2. Actual funds to support associated program support needs for the Chemical Speciation Network (CSN) were reduced by \$663,000. This is possible as equipment for phase 3 of the carbon channel change-out does not need to be ordered until FY2007 and earlier grant year funds for PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitoring are closed out. These closed out funds, if available, will be prioritized for phase 3 of the carbon channel change-out and other high priority equipment needs to support PM<sub>2.5</sub> and NCore monitoring.
- 3. FY 2009 funds for PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitoring are being requested as section 105 funds; therefore, the program budget for FY 2009 is \$25,500,000.